

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

·		TATES OF	vvasningto	on, D.C. 20231	A-S
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAME	INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/485,034	02/02/00	BLYTHE		R	PAR20013
IM22/0703			7	-	EXAMINER
FAY SHARPE FAGAN MINNICH & MCKEE				GRAY,J	
1100 SUPERIOR AVENUE			:	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SEVENTH FLOOR CLEVELAND OH 44114-2518				1774	6
				DATE MAILED:	07/03/01

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Application No. 09/485,034

Applicant(s)

**BLYTHE** 

# Office Action Summary

Examiner Art Unit
Jill Gray 1774

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
A SHOTHE No. 1 occ Failur - Any o	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely.  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication.  The to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by	FR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
Status		
1) 🗆	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This act	tion is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under Ex pa	except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is arte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-26</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-26	is/are rejected.
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
- •	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e objected to by the Examiner.
		is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam	
13)⊠	under 35 U.S.C. § 119  Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign p  All b)□ Some* c)□ None of:	
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have</li> </ol>	
		locuments have been received in this National Stage eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	
Attachm	ent(s)	
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 💢 lr	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)4	20) Other:

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/485,034

Art Unit: 1774

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

### Specification

2. The use of the trademarks "CALPRENE", "FOMAPRENE", and "EUROPRENE SOL" has been noted in this application. It should be capitalized wherever it appears and be accompanied by the generic terminology.

Although the use of trademarks is permissible in patent applications, the proprietary nature of the marks should be respected and every effort made to prevent their use in any manner which might adversely affect their validity as trademarks.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 7, 10, 15, 17, 19, and 23-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thelen et al, 4,564,310 (Thelen) or Japanese Patent Abstract No. JP4048927 (the abstract).

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/485,034

Art Unit: 1774

Thelen and the abstract each teach construction material and wearing courses essentially

as claimed by applicants, said construction material comprising an agglomerate of granules of a

thermoplastic block copolymer elastomer, the granules are inherently angular or multi-facet

granules, and an underlying bulk layer.

Therefore, the teachings of Thelen and the abstract anticipate the invention as claimed in

present claims 1-2, 7, 10, 15, 17, 19, and 23-26.

5. Claims 1-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by PCT Publication

No. WO92/19669 (Bowers).

Bowers teaches a polymeric material of the type contemplated by applicants, wherein said

polymeric material can be used a construction material and in the formation of a wearing course,

said material comprising agglomerates of granules of a thermoplastic elastomer, such as a block

copolymer of the styrene type, and a polyurethane binder which substantially fills the interstices

between the granules, wherein the particle size is within applicants claimed range. In addition,

Bowers teaches an underlying bulk layer having a thickness within the claimed range. Properties

such as the granules being angular or multi-facet granules are inherent.

As a result, Bowers anticipates the invention as claimed in the present claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/485,034

Art Unit: 1774

7. Claims 8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

8. The term "type" in claim 8 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "type" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. The language extends the scope of the claim rendering it difficult to ascertain the metes and bounds for which patent protection is being sought.

Regarding claim 12, the phrase "for example" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitation(s) following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

No claims are allowed.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to J. M. Gray whose telephone number is (703) 308-2381.

M- / 1700 May 1700

jmg

July 1, 2001